Making Suffolk a safer place to live, work, travel and invest

ORIGINATOR: PCC CHIEF FINANCE OFFICER
DECISION NUMBER: 24-2023

REASON FOR SUBMISSION: FOR DECISION


## SUBJECT: <br> OUTTURN 2022/23, CAPITAL SLIPPAGE AND TRANSFERS TO AND FROM RESERVES

## SUMMARY:

1. To comply with the requirement to publish the draft statement of accounts for the year ending 31 March 2023, the Police and Crime Commissioner (PCC) should approve the capital slippage funding and movement on reserves to incorporate these financial transactions in the draft statement of accounts.
2. The final surplus of the Group Revenue Budget was $£ 0.676 \mathrm{~m}$. Of this, $£ 0.075 \mathrm{~m}$ related to the Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner’s budget, $£ 0.062 \mathrm{~m}$ related to the PCC commissioning budget, and $£ 0.539 \mathrm{~m}$ related to the Constabulary's budget.
3. The planned transfer to reserves for the Group in $2022 / 23$ was $£ 1.009 \mathrm{~m}$ and the actual transfer to reserves was $£ 2.554 \mathrm{~m}$ (a benefit to reserves of $£ 1.545 \mathrm{~m}$ ). This is because higher revenue contributions of $£ 630 \mathrm{k}$ were made to capital outlay than originally budgeted and less capital spend was incurred within the year than forecast. There was therefore a reduced need for reserves to be utilised on capital spend within the year.
4. The capital budget for $2022 / 23$ was $£ 6.907 \mathrm{~m}$, and spend against this budget was $£ 5.643 \mathrm{~m}$, an underspend of $£ 1.264 \mathrm{~m}$. The reason for the underspend requiring slippage was predominately caused by the re-profiling of the Estates programme and delays in vehicle replacements and joint projects.

## RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the Police and Crime Commissioner approves:

- The reserve movements for $2022 / 23$ as set out in the table in the body of this report;
- The additional requirement for reserves in 2023/24;
- The slippage on the capital programme from 2022/23 into 2023/24.

APPROVAL BY: PCC

The recommendation set out is agreed.


## Signature

Date 4 August 2023

## DETAIL OF THE SUBMISSION

## 1. KEY AREAS FOR CONSIDERATION

1.1 This paper is requesting approval for the use of and transfer to reserves in 2022/23, additional use of reserves in 2023/24 and slippage against the 2022/23 capital programme into 2023/24.
1.2 The planned transfer to reserves for the PCC Group in 2022/23 was $£ 1.009 \mathrm{~m}$ and the actual transfer to reserves was $£ 2.554 \mathrm{~m}$ (a benefit to reserves of $£ 1.545 \mathrm{~m}$ ).

The detail is shown in the table below:

| Use of Reserves | Budget | Actual | Variance |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{£ 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{£ 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{£ 0 0 0}$ |
| Capital Programme Funding from Reserves | $\mathbf{( 1 , 4 1 7 )}$ | - | $\mathbf{( 1 , 4 1 7 )}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Constabulary: |  |  |  |
| 7 Force Collaboration Contribution | $(120)$ | $(120)$ | - |
| Carry Forward from 2021/22 | $(204)$ | $(204)$ | - |
| Carry Forward to 2023/24 | - | 118 | $(118)$ |
| Regional Partnership Reserve | - | 10 | $(10)$ |
| Transfer from Reserves (Constabulary) | $\mathbf{( 3 2 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 9 6 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 2 8 )}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Capital Financing reserve | 1,453 | 1,453 | - |
| General Reserve | $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ | 200 | - |
| Local Council Tax support grant | 1,097 | 1,097 | - |
| Transfer to Reserves (Constabulary) | $\mathbf{2 , 7 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 5 0}$ | - |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total transfer to Reserves | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 5 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 , 5 4 5 )}$ |

1.3 The year-end surplus for the group was $£ 0.676 \mathrm{~m}$. Of this, $£ 0.075 \mathrm{~m}$ related to the PCC budget, $£ 0.062 \mathrm{~m}$ related to the PCC Commissioning budget, and $£ 0.539 \mathrm{~m}$ surplus related to the Constabulary's budget. Reserve transfers are set out in the table below:

|  | $\mathbf{£ 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Transfer to PCC Reserve | $\mathbf{( 7 5 )}$ |
| Transfer to Crime and Disorder Reduction Reserve | $(62)$ |
| Transfer to Budget Reserve | $\mathbf{( 5 3 9 )}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{( 6 7 6 )}$ |

1.4 Appendix A shows the proposed reserve movements and the forecast use of reserves over the Medium-Term Financial Plan (MTFP) period. General and earmarked reserves are forecast to be $£ 1.084 \mathrm{~m}$ higher in 2026/27 than assumed in the MTFP, due to the net contribution in 2022/23 as described above, offset by an additional capital funding requirement for slippage in 2024/25.
1.5 The capital budget for 2022/23 was $£ 6.907 \mathrm{~m}$, and spending against this budget was $£ 5.643 \mathrm{~m}$, an underspend of $£ 1.264 \mathrm{~m}$. The reason for the underspend requiring slippage was predominately caused by the re-profiling of the Estates programme and delays in vehicle replacements and joint projects. Appendices $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{i})$ and $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{ii})$ provide the detailed capital outturn position.

## 2. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

2.1 There are no other financial implications outside of those presented in this report.

## 3. OTHER IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS

3.1 There are several contingent liabilities in the draft 2022/23 Statement of Accounts. Should the circumstances relating to these contingent liabilities change, the Constabulary may need to fund these liabilities in accordance with accounting standards. If the obligation becomes more certain, this will have a potential impact on the 2022/23 outturn position. The PCC will be informed of the impact on 2022/23 and 2023/24 in future budget monitoring reports.

| ORIGINATOR CHECKLIST (MUST BE COMPLETED) | PLEASE STATE <br> 'YES' OR 'NO' |
| :--- | :--- |
| Has legal advice been sought on this submission? | No |
| Has the PCC's Chief Finance Officer been consulted? | Yes |
| Have equality, diversity and human rights implications been considered <br> including equality analysis, as appropriate? | No |
| Have human resource implications been considered? | No |
| Is the recommendation consistent with the objectives in the Police and Crime <br> Plan? | Yes |
| Has consultation been undertaken with people or agencies likely to be <br> affected by the recommendation? | Yes |
| Has communications advice been sought on areas of likely media interest |  |
| and how they might be managed? | No |
| Have all relevant ethical factors been taken into consideration in developing <br> this submission? | Yes |

In relation to the above, please ensure that all relevant issues have been highlighted in the 'other implications and risks' section of the submission.

APPROVAL TO SUBMIT TO THE DECISION-MAKER (this approval is required only for submissions to the PCC).

## Chief Executive

I am satisfied that relevant advice has been taken into account in the preparation of the report and that this is an annronriate reauest to be submitted to the PCC.

Signature


Date 4 August 2023


OFFICIAL


| JOINT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROJECT | Requested <br> Slippage (21/22) | Budget (Table A) | Budget (Table B) | Current Budget <br> (Slippage \& Table A) | Outturn | Under/Over(-) | Table A Slippage | Table B Slippage |
| ICT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Joint ICT Replacements - Servers | 76,752 | 621,700 | - | 698,452 | 125,911 | 572,541 | - | - |
| ICT Replacements - Network | 485,000 | 888,000 | - | 1,373,000 | 621,288 | 751,712 | 551,000 | - |
| Microwave Refresh | 21,000 | 37,500 | - | 58,500 | 28,034 | 30,466 | 23,616 | - |
| ANPR Cameras | - | 75,000 | - | 75,000 | 58,611 | 16,389 | - | - |
| Telematics | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| CCR Telephony | 76,587 | - | - | 76,587 | 30,118 | 46,469 | 46,469 | - |
| Genie/Clearcore | - | - | 100,000 | - | - | - | - | 100,000 |
| Video Conferencing | 56,356 | - | - | 56,356 | 25,603 | 30,753 | 2,575 | - |
| Digital Recording/Streaming | 100,777 | - | - | 100,777 | 3,560 | 97,218 | 97,217 | - |
| Mobile Device Replacement Programme | 200,942 | 255,000 | - | 455,942 | 413,275 | 42,667 | 42,667 | - |
| BWV Device Replacement Programme | - | 865,909 | - | 865,909 | 853,957 | 11,952 | 11,952 | - |
| Mobile Workflow (OPTIK) | 136,275 | 260,000 | - | 396,275 | 180,423 | 215,852 | 121,031 | - |
| DAMS (Digital Asset Management) | - | - | 332,055 | - | 114,088 | $(114,088)$ | - | 167,237 |
| DFU Storage Expansion | - | 526,448 | - | 526,448 | 492,947 | 33,501 | - | - |
| Airwave Handset Replacement | - | - | - | - | $(41,166)$ | 41,166 |  |  |
| Covert Airwave Upgrade | - | - | - | - | $(7,240)$ | 7,240 | - | - |
| ERP Upgrade Project | 164,721 | - | - | 164,721 | 70,397 | 94,324 | 94,324 | - |
| Sailpoint | 124,178 | - | - | 124,178 | - | 124,178 | 124,178 | - |
| OPAS OH Case Management System | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| DMS Upgrade | 95,050 | - | - | 95,050 | 39,033 | 56,018 | 56,018 | - |
| Skills Module | - | - | 110,000 | - | - | - | - | 110,000 |
| ESN | - | - | 106,242 | - | 74,355 | $(74,355)$ | - | - |
| eRecruitment Oleeo | 129,210 | - | - | 129,210 | 24,523 | 104,687 | 104,687 | - |
| E-PDR | - | 50,000 | - | 50,000 | - | 50,000 | 50,000 | - |
| Modern Workplace | - | - | 100,000 | - | - | - | - | - |
| MS Projects Server | - | - | 30,000 | - | - | - | - | 30,000 |
| Efficiency Initiatives Various | - | - | 1,028,000 | - | - | - | - | 844,224 |
| CDG Phase 2 - Data Warehousing | - | - | 75,000 | - | - | - | - | 75,000 |
| Intranet Upgrade | - | 50,000 | - | 50,000 | - | 50,000 | 50,000 | - |
| Equipment \& Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rifle Capability | 49,374 | 17,796 | - | 67,170 | - | 67,170 | - | - |
| Radio Frequency Propagation Service Equipmen- | - | 45,070 | 191,930 | 45,070 | 47,300 | $(2,230)$ | - | - |
| Firearms Various | - | - | 155,000 | - | - | - | - | 155,000 |
| Forensic Services Cameras | - | 90,000 | - | 90,000 | 60,579 | 29,421 | 29,421 | - |
| Firearms Communications | - | 72,000 | - | 72,000 | 17,864 | 54,137 | 72,000 | - |
| PSU Training Premises | - | - | 100,000 | - | - | - | - | 100,000 |
| Forensic Case Management System | - | - | 166,000 | - | - | - | - | 166,000 |
| Grant \& Additional Revenue Funding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non Operational Equipment Revenue Funded | - | - | - | - | 26,500 | $(26,500)$ | - | - |
| Operational Equipment Revenue Funded | - | - | - | - | 23,931 | $(23,931)$ | - | - |
| IN CELL TECH - DTOA GRANT FUNDED | - | - | - | - | 90,232 | $(90,232)$ | - | - |
| TOTAL | 1,716,222 | 3,854,423 | 2,494,227 | 5,570,645 | 3,374,121 | 2,196,525 | 1,477,154 | 1,747,461 |
| Joint Capital Projects Norfolk | 967,948 | 2,173,895 | 1,406,744 | 3,141,843 | 1,903,004 | 1,238,839 | 833,112 | 985,568 |
| Joint Capital Projects Suffolk | 748,274 | 1,680,528 | 1,087,483 | 2,428,802 | 1,471,117 | 957,685 | 644,042 | 761,893 |
|  | 1,716,222 | 3,854,423 | 2,494,227 | 5,570,645 | 3,374,121 | 2,196,525 | 1,477,154 | 1,747,461 |
|  |  | 5,570,645 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

