

**ORIGINATOR: HEAD OF PROGRAMME
MANAGEMENT OFFICE**

DECISION NUMBER: 7 - 2021

REASON FOR SUBMISSION: FOR DECISION

SUBMITTED TO: POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER

SUBJECT: COLLABORATION AGREEMENT – INTEGRATED OFFENDER MANAGEMENT (IOM)

SUMMARY:

1. The joint Integrated Offender Management (IOM) Section 22A Collaboration Agreement was extended by variation, to expire on 31st March 2021. Prior to this the function had been operating as a collaborated unit since 1st April 2014.
2. The function continues to operate and deliver in line with strategic objectives and a further Collaboration Agreement is proposed to continue with the function for a further 5 years.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the Chief Executive of the Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner is authorised to execute the Collaboration Agreement on behalf of the Police and Crime Commissioner, to enable the continuation of the collaborated Joint Integrated Offender Management function.

APPROVAL BY: PCC

The recommendation set out is agreed.

Signature



Date 29.01.21

DETAIL OF THE SUBMISSION

1. KEY ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION

1.1 OBJECTIVE

The Joint Integrated Offender Management function (IOM) has been working in collaboration across Norfolk and Suffolk Constabularies since 2014. In accordance with 12.2 of the Collaboration Agreement, the function has been reviewed to ensure it continues to operate effectively and in conjunction with organisational objectives. A new Collaboration Agreement for a period of 5 years is now proposed.

1.2 BACKGROUND

The IOM has been operating under a Variation Agreement since 1st April 2020. Following a review of the function by Assistant Chief Constables (ACCs) from Norfolk and Suffolk, a change has been made to the joint performance monitoring of the function.

1.3 AREAS FOR CONSIDERATION

The ACCs have decided that, whilst we await the outcome of a national review of the Integrated Offender Management strategy, along with any changes as a result of the Prison and Probation Service review, we should continue with the good service that the IOM has delivered to date.

1.4 For the purposes of the Section22A agreement joint performance monitoring of the function will be provided through the Management of Offenders – Strategic Meeting (MOSM) as outlined in Section 24 of the agreement.

2. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

2.1 There are no financial or other resource implications for the continued collaboration of the IOM function.

3. OTHER IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS:

3.1 There are no other implications and risks associated with the continued collaboration of the IOM function.

ORIGINATOR CHECKLIST (MUST BE COMPLETED)	PLEASE STATE 'YES' OR 'NO'
Has legal advice been sought on this submission?	YES
Has the PCC's Chief Finance Officer been consulted?	YES
Have equality, diversity and human rights implications been considered including equality analysis, as appropriate?	YES
Have human resource implications been considered?	YES
Is the recommendation consistent with the objectives in the Police and Crime Plan?	YES
Has consultation been undertaken with people or agencies likely to be affected by the recommendation?	NO
Has communications advice been sought on areas of likely media interest and how they might be managed?	NO
Have all relevant ethical factors been taken into consideration in developing this submission?	YES


In relation to the above, please ensure that all relevant issues have been highlighted in the 'other implications and risks' section of the submission.

APPROVAL TO SUBMIT TO THE DECISION-MAKER

Chief Executive

I am satisfied that relevant advice has been taken into account in the preparation of the report and that this is an appropriate request to be submitted to the PCC.

Signature:



Date 29.01.21