

RISK REGISTER

RISK EVALUATION

Likelihood of Risk Occurring

Score	Indicator
1.	Not Expected to happen.
2.	May happen.
3.	Is expected to happen.
4.	Certain to happen.

Impact should Risk Occur

Impact	1	2	3	4
Effect on Objectives	Minor impact – loss of objective should not prejudice other objectives	Minor impact – loss of objective could prejudice other objectives	Significant impact – loss of objective may impact on overall programme	Complete failure to achieve objective - major consequences for other objectives and the entire programme
Effect on Performance	Minor impact on service delivery – not noticeable by stakeholders	Minor impact on service delivery – affect noticed by stakeholders	Major impact on service delivery	Complete failure to deliver service
Effect on Service	Short term loss in service	Significant loss of a service	Complete loss of a service	Significant county wide disruption
Effect on Finance	Ability to work within tolerance Budget overspend of less than 2%	Significant injection from reserves Budget overspend between 2% & 5%	Significant impact on other budgets Budget overspend 5% & 10%	Potential loss of other budget allocations Budget overspend 10% or more
Effect on Security	Nil or minor compromise of unclassified information	Restricted & Confidential information compromised	Secret information compromised	Top Secret information compromised
Effect on Reputation / Confidence	Short term adverse local publicity Breach of local policy or guidance	Short term negative reaction in media Non serious prosecution	Adverse and persistent media reaction Integrity of Authority questioned	Major front page story

RISK CLASSIFICATION

Scoring Matrix to determine Level of Risk

LEVEL			
12-16	H	High Risk	Detailed research required. Senior management to manage via detailed plan
6-11	M	Medium Risk	Senior management attention is required and management responsibility specified
1-5	L	Low Risk	Manage by specific monitoring or response procedures These items would not normally be included on the Strategic Risk Register

Approved by PCC



1 May 2020

Any queries upon the Risk Register should be directed to Christopher Jackson, Chief Executive of the Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner for Suffolk
 Tel: 01473 782770
 Mobile: 07921 938100
 Fax: 01473 611580
 Email: christopher.jackson@suffolk.pnn.police.uk

No.	Risk Type	Risk	Like-likelihood	Impact	Risk Classification	Risk Owner	Action Plan	Review Date
1.	Strategic Financial Planning	The Constabulary faces significant service pressures due to the changing nature of crime, as well as dealing with the impact of the shortage in resources of other public sector partners. Savings continue to be needed, found and delivered.	2	3	6 Medium	Police and Crime Commissioner	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The PCC will monitor progress through discussion with the Chief Constable at the Weekly Conference, the Accountability and Performance Panel, and regular discussion with the Chief Finance Officer. Detailed monthly financial reports will continue to be prepared throughout 2020/21 in respect of year-to-date financial performance and year-end projection for 2020/21. They are considered in detail by the PCC, CC and CFO, and any corrective action agreed and taken to ensure financial balance in 2020/21. 	1 June 2020
2.	Confidence	The Confidence levels for the Force published by the Office for National Statistics and appearing in paper AP20/03 show confidence below the 3 year average in all four confidence measures, which is indicative of a lack of confidence in policing in Suffolk and may consequently lead to reputational damage.	2	3	6 Medium	Police and Crime Commissioner	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The PCC will monitor progress through discussion with the Chief Constable at the Weekly Conference, the Accountability and Performance Panel, and regular discussion. The Constabulary have a Public Confidence Board which has formulated an Action Plan to address the issues. Progress with the Action Plan will be reported upon monthly to the Weekly Conference. A confidence survey is in the process of being commissioned to better understand the reasons for the perceived lack of confidence. The outcomes will be reported upon to the PCC. 	1 June 2020
3.	Data Quality	The HMIC Crime Data Integrity Inspection 2019 highlighted a number of improvements that are required and which if not rectified will impact upon performance monitoring and management as well as confidence.	2	3	6 Medium	Police and Crime Commissioner	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Progress on the action required to improve data recording will be monitored by the constabulary and there will be an update report on progress to the Accountability and Performance Panel in May 2020. 	1 June 2020

No.	Risk Type	Risk	Like- lihood	Impact	Risk Classification	Risk Owner	Action Plan	Review Date
4.	Business Continuity	The Coronavirus spreading throughout the world is a serious risk to health which is very likely to impact upon service delivery by the Constabulary and also the OPCC	4	4	16 High	PCC	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Constabulary has established a Gold Group to manage the Constabulary's response to the Coronavirus outbreak. The PCC will monitor the action taken by the Constabulary at the Accountability and Performance Panel, the Weekly Conference and attendance at the Gold Group. This will help ensure that the Constabulary continues to deliver an efficient and effective policing service. 2. The Chief Executive has issued advice to the OPCC as to the action to be taken in the case of Coronavirus impacting on the OPCC. This will be subject to continuous review and discussion at weekly meetings of the Senior Management Team and at weekly full team meetings. Arrangements have been made to run the office through a significant degree of working from home. 	1 June 2020