



ORIGINATOR: CHIEF EXECUTIVE

DECISION NO. 29-2013

REASON FOR SUBMISSION: FOR DECISION

SUBMITTED TO: POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER

SUBJECT: COLLABORATION STRATEGY

SUMMARY:

1. The Norfolk and Suffolk Collaboration Panel has reviewed the Collaboration Strategy of the former Norfolk and Suffolk Police Authorities to reflect the position held by the Police and Crime Commissioners and Chief Constables on collaboration between the two police areas.
2. The revised Strategy outlines the collaborative vision for the Norfolk and Suffolk police areas.

RECOMMENDATION:

The PCC is recommended to approve the Collaboration Strategy at Appendix A.

APPROVAL BY: PCC

The recommendation set out above is approved.

Signature

Tim Pannone

Date 13/5/2013

DETAIL OF THE SUBMISSION

1. BACKGROUND

- 1.1 At a meeting of the Police and Crime Commissioners (PCCs) and Chief Constables (CCs) for Norfolk and Suffolk on 28 January 2013, it was agreed that the Collaboration Strategy of the former Police Authorities should be revised to reflect the position held by each PCC and CC on collaboration between the two police areas.
- 1.2 The Norfolk and Suffolk Collaboration Panel on 26 April 2013 considered a draft Collaboration Strategy which was agreed in principle subject to further amendment to reflect points arising from discussion at the meeting. The Panel agreed that the final wording of the Strategy be agreed by officers outside the meeting. The revised draft Strategy has been circulated to Panel members and no further changes made.
- 1.3 The Strategy outlines the collaborative vision for the Norfolk and Suffolk police areas. It provides the strategic framework within which to progress collaborative opportunities and governance principles for the programme. The Collaboration Strategy is submitted for the formal approval of the Police and Crime Commissioner.
- 1.4 The Strategy will be published on the PCC's website and on the Intranets of both Constabularies.

2. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 2.1 There are no direct financial implications arising from this paper.

3. OTHER IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS

- 3.1 There are no other relevant other implications and risks arising from this paper.

ORIGINATOR CHECKLIST (MUST BE COMPLETED)	PLEASE STATE 'YES' OR 'NO'
Has legal advice been sought on this submission?	No
Has the PCC's Chief Finance Officer been consulted?	Yes
Have equality, diversity and human rights implications been considered including equality analysis, as appropriate?	Yes
Have human resource implications been considered?	Yes
Is the recommendation consistent with the objectives in the Police and Crime Plan?	Yes
Has consultation been undertaken with people or agencies likely to be affected by the recommendation?	Yes
Has communications advice been sought on areas of likely media interest and how they might be managed?	No
In relation to the above, have all relevant issues been highlighted in the 'other implications and risks' section of the submission?	Yes

APPROVAL TO SUBMIT TO THE DECISION-MAKER

Chief Executive

I am satisfied that relevant advice has been taken into account in the preparation of the report and that this is an appropriate request to be submitted to the PCC.

Signature:



Date 13 May 2013

