

Making Suffolk a safer place to live, work, travel and invest

ORIGIN	ATOR.	CHIEF	EXECUTIVE
	$\Delta I \cup I \setminus I$		LACCULIVE

DECISION NUMBER: 5-2018

REASON FOR SUBMISSION:

FOR DECISION

SUBMITTED TO:

POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER

SUBJECT: NATIONAL POLICE COLLABORATION AGREEMENT RELATING TO THE

NATIONAL VEHICLE CRIME INTELLIGENCE SERVICE (NAVCIS) AND NATIONAL WILDLIFE CRIME UNIT (NWCU) AND THE NATIONAL POLICE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION AND DATA PROTECTION UNIT (NPFDU)

SUMMARY:

1. Authority is sought to enter into a collaboration agreement in relation to three national services delivered on behalf of the police service as a whole, namely those services listed in the subject heading of this paper.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Police and Crime Commissioner is invited to authorise the Chief Executive to execute the collaboration agreement that has been produced nationally in relation to the above three services.

APPROVAL BY: PCC

The recommendation set out above is agreed.

Signature / aum me

Date 26th January 2018

DETAIL OF THE SUBMISSION

1. KEY ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION:

- 1.1 A National Units Section 22A Collaboration Agreement has been received for execution by all force Chief Constables and Police and Crime Commissioners. The Agreement relates to national units run through ACRO and hosted by Hampshire Constabulary. The purpose of the Agreement is to standardise a situation that has been in place for a number of years. The units in question are the National Vehicle Crime Intelligence Service (NAVCIS), the National Wildlife Crime Unit (NWCU), and the National Police Freedom of Information Data Protection Unit (NPFDU). All three units were created during the time of the Association of Chief Police Officers (ACPO). ACRO, the ACPO Criminal Records Office had already come into being hosted by Hampshire Constabulary and was based in Whiteley, Hampshire. ACRO agreed to undertake administrative functions required for the effective running of the three National Units. This was agreed with the Chief Constable of Hampshire and has since been agreed by the National Police Chiefs' Council (NPCC).
- 1.2 The Agreement has been drafted by Eversheds Solicitors to reflect the agreements of the NPCC, and has been undertaken with the agreement of the Chief Constable of Hampshire who will host the three units. The Agreement provides a governance platform by which the Chief Constable of Hampshire will have direction and control whilst implementing the strategic direction required by the relevant Strategic Leads and making provision for the financing of the units and the sharing of any liability. The Agreement is fundamentally required to provide the three National Units with a legal identity which they lack unless hosted by a Constabulary.
- 1.3 The Agreement has been widely shared and consulted on and is now ready for execution by the proposed parties to the Agreement.
- 1.4 Authority is sought from the Police and Crime Commissioner for the Chief Executive to execute the collaboration agreement on his behalf.

2. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

2.1 The financing contributions by the parties are set out in Schedule 2 to the Agreement. The contribution from Suffolk represents 0.96% of the total national policing contributions.

3. OTHER IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS:

3.1 There are no other material implications or risks.

ODICINATOR CUECKLIST (MUST BE COME) TITE				
ORIGINATOR CHECKLIST (MUST BE COMPLETED)	PLEASE STATE 'YES' OR 'NO'			
Has legal advice been sought on this submission?	Yes			
Has the PCC's Chief Finance Officer been consulted?	Yes			
Have equality, diversity and human rights implications been considered including equality analysis, as appropriate?	Yes			
Have human resource implications been considered?	Yes			
Is the recommendation consistent with the objectives in the Police and Crime Plan?	Yes			
Has consultation been undertaken with people or agencies likely to be affected by the recommendation?	Yes – the agreement has been discussed with the Chief Constable			
Has communications advice been sought on areas of likely media interest and how they might be managed?	Not anticipated			
Have all relevant ethical factors been taken into consideration in developing this submission?	Yes			

In relation to the above, please ensure that all relevant issues have been highlighted in the 'other implications and risks' section of the submission.

APPROVAL TO SUBMIT TO THE DECISION-MAKER (this approval is required only for submissions to the PCC).

Ch	ief	Executive

I am satisfied that relevant advice has been taken into account in the preparation of the report and that this is an appropriate request to be submitted to the (add decision-maker's title e.g. the PCC).

Signature:

Date 25 Jany 2018

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED