

ORIGINATOR: CHIEF EXECUTIVE

DECISION NUMBER: 18 - 2015.

REASON FOR SUBMISSION: FOR DECISION

SUBMITTED TO: POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER

**SUBJECT: NATIONAL POLICE COLLABORATION AGREEMENT –
TO ESTABLISH THE NATIONAL POLICE CHIEFS' COUNCIL**

SUMMARY:

1. The Association of Chief Police Officers is being dissolved and replaced by a new body to be established as a collaboration between Chief Officers of Police with the involvement and consent of Police and Crime Commissioners and a number of other bodies.
2. The body to be called the National Police Chiefs' Council is required to be formally established by a collaboration agreement under section 22A of the Police Act 1996.
3. Such an agreement requires the agreement of Police and Crime Commissioners.

RECOMMENDATION:

1. That the Police and Crime Commissioner agrees to enter into the National Police Collaboration Agreement to establish the National Police Chiefs' Council;
2. The Chief Executive be authorised to execute the Collaboration Agreement on the Police and Crime Commissioner's behalf.

APPROVAL BY: PCC

The recommendation set out above is agreed.

Signature

Tim Parnmore

Date 25/02/2015

DETAIL OF THE SUBMISSION

1. ISSUE FOR CONSIDERATION:

- 1.1 As a result of the Parker Review, Police and Crime Commissioners, their Chief Constables and a number of other bodies acknowledged that the Association of Chief Police Officers required to be dissolved. In order to undertake some of the functions that were previously carried out by the Association the above parties agreed that a new co-ordinating body known as the National Police Chiefs' Council (the NPCC) should be established. This body, which will be a non-legal entity, will be formed as a collaboration between the Chief Officers of Police. The NCPP will be hosted by the Metropolitan Police. The host force will not be liable for the actions of the NPCC and any such liabilities shall be shared between the parties to the collaboration agreement required to establish the body.
- 1.2 The NPCC will enable operationally independent and locally accountable Chief Officers to coordinate the work of the police service in order to protect the public.
- 1.3 The parties referred to above have indicated a wish to collaborate with each other in relation to running, carrying out of the functions and the funding and establishment of the NPCC.
- 1.4 Accordingly the parties referred to above need to agree to execute a collaboration agreement pursuant to section 22A of the Police Act 1996. A draft collaboration agreement has been prepared and consulted upon.

2. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

- 2.1 The Police and Crime Commissioners and other similar governance bodies are the funding parties for the NPCC. The term funding parties is specifically defined in the agreement. The Suffolk Police and Crime Commissioner's funding share is 0.8% and its share in financial terms for 2015/16 is anticipated to be c £10.4k.
- 2.2 The agreement makes provision for sharing liabilities that may arise. The general position is that liabilities will be shared between the funding parties in proportion to their funding share.

3. OTHER IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS:

- 3.1 There is no related risk on the PCC Risk register and no issue of significance which arises necessitating a further entry associated with this matter on the Register.

ORIGINATOR CHECKLIST (MUST BE COMPLETED)	PLEASE STATE 'YES' OR 'NO'
Has legal advice been sought on this submission?	Yes, the author is a Solicitor and the Monitoring Officer.
Has the PCC's Chief Finance Officer been consulted?	Yes.
Have equality, diversity and human rights implications been considered including equality analysis, as appropriate?	Not applicable.
Have human resource implications been considered?	Not applicable.
Is the recommendation consistent with the objectives in the Police and Crime Plan?	Yes.
Has consultation been undertaken with people or agencies likely to be affected by the recommendation?	Yes.
Has communications advice been sought on areas of likely media interest and how they might be managed?	Yes.
Have all relevant ethical factors been taken into consideration in developing this submission?	Yes.

In relation to the above, please ensure that all relevant issues have been highlighted in the 'other implications and risks' section of the submission.

APPROVAL TO SUBMIT TO THE DECISION-MAKER (this approval is required only for submissions to the PCC).

Chief Executive

I am satisfied that relevant advice has been taken into account in the preparation of the report and that this is an appropriate request to be submitted to the (add decision-maker's title e.g. the PCC).

Signature: 

Date *25 February 2015*

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED